

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/541,516	BIERMANS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	/Frank M. Lawrence/	1797	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Frank M. Lawrence.

(3) _____.

 (2) Bryan Davidson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2007
Time: 10 am e.t.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

EP '292

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant approved an examiner's amendment to clarify claim 1 by stating that the condensation step is carried out in a condenser separate from the NH3 separation device in order to clearly distinguish over the prior art.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)